

CERN-TH/2000-154

Grand Unified Theories without the Desert

Alex Pomarol ¹

*Theory Division, CERN
CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland*

Abstract

We present a Grand Unified Theory (GUT) that has GUT fields with masses of the order of a TeV, but at the same time preserves (at the one-loop level) the success of gauge-coupling unification of the MSSM and the smallness of proton decay operators. This scenario is based on a five-dimensional theory with the extra dimension compactified as in the Randall–Sundrum model. The MSSM gauge sector and its GUT extension live in the 5D bulk, while the matter sector is localized on a 4D boundary.

CERN-TH/2000-154

May 2000

¹On leave of absence from IFAE, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcelona.

1 Introduction

One of the most fascinating challenges in particle physics is the unification of the forces of nature. Grand unified theories (GUTs) have been advocated as an extension of the standard model (SM) to unify the gauge interactions. Their immediate consequence is the explanation of charge quantization. The scale at which GUTs must replace the SM, however, must be very high, $M_{\text{GUT}} > 10^{15-16}$ GeV, in order to avoid higher-dimensional operators that would lead to a large proton decay rate. Furthermore, a large M_{GUT} is also needed for gauge-coupling unification. Since the 4D gauge couplings evolve logarithmically with the energy scale, a large M_{GUT} is needed to allow the different gauge couplings of the SM to get closer and, eventually, unify. This is the case in the supersymmetric extension of the standard model (MSSM) where gauge couplings actually unify at $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV. Since supersymmetry is also needed for the stability of the weak scale versus the large GUT scale, supersymmetric GUTs provide a very appealing framework for physics beyond the SM. Nevertheless, we must face the fact that, since GUT fields will only appear at very high energies $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV, GUTs will never be tested in a direct way. These theories predict a big “desert” from the weak to the GUT scale.

Here we will present a GUT scenario without the “desert”. It has GUT fields of masses of the order of a TeV. This scenario has been proposed in Refs. [1, 2] and is based on an extension of the MSSM to a five-dimensional theory with the extra dimension compactified as in the Randall–Sundrum model [3]. Differently from Ref. [3], however, we will consider the MSSM gauge sector and its GUT extension living in 5D with matter localized on a 4D boundary. In this letter we will show that, even though the theory is five-dimensional, gauge couplings get logarithmic corrections at the one-loop level. Therefore the theory predicts, as in the 4D MSSM case, the right values of the gauge couplings at low energies. We will also show that proton decay operators are suppressed by the high scale $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV.

Different attempts to obtain theories that, while predicting gauge-coupling unification as in the MSSM, do not have a “desert” between the weak and the GUT scale, can be found in Refs. [4].

2 The set-up

Our set-up is based on the Randall–Sundrum 5D model [3], where the bulk is a slice of AdS₅. This corresponds to a 5D non-factorizable geometry with a the fifth dimension y compactified on an orbifold, S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 , of radius R with $0 \leq y \leq \pi R$. The orbifold fixed points at $y^* = 0$ and $y^* = \pi R$ are 4D boundaries of the five-dimensional space-time. The metric is given by [3]

$$ds^2 = e^{-2ky} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dy^2, \quad (1)$$

where $1/k$ is the AdS curvature radius and $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ with $\mu = 1, \dots, 4$. The fundamental scale in the 5D theory, M_5 , is related with the 4D Planck mass, M_P , by $M_5^3 \simeq k M_P^2$ (for $R > 1/k$). We assume that all the scales are of roughly the same order of magnitude $k \simeq M_5 \simeq M_P$, with the radius of the extra dimension slightly larger, $R \sim 11/k$. The effective scales on the two boundaries are very different. On the $y^* = 0$ boundary the effective scale is M_P , while on the $y^* = \pi R$ boundary this is given by $ke^{-k\pi R} \sim \text{TeV}$ (for the assumed value $R \sim 11/k$). We will hence call these two boundaries the M_P -boundary and the TeV-boundary respectively.

3 One-loop corrections to the gauge propagator at low energies

Let us consider a 5D gauge boson [5, 1], $A_M(x, y)$, with $M = (\mu, 5)$, living in the slice of AdS₅ described above. We want to calculate the one-loop corrections to the gauge propagator at low energies. For simplicity, we will consider a 5D scalar QED theory. We will work in the gauge $A_5(x, y) = 0$, so we only have to consider $A_\mu(x, y)$. At energies below the Kaluza–Klein (KK) masses, only the massless zero-mode of the photon is relevant. This is given by [5, 1]

$$A_\mu(x, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi R}} A_\mu^{(0)}(x) + \dots . \quad (2)$$

This corresponds to a 4D massless state with a y -independent wave-function. Contrary to the graviton case, this gauge mode is not localized by the AdS metric and has the interesting property that it couples to the two boundaries of the orbifold with equal strength.

We want to calculate the one-loop corrections to the propagator of this massless photon generated by a 5D scalar ϕ with charge 1 and even under the \mathbb{Z}_2 . We will regularize this theory with a 5D Pauli–Villars (PV) field Φ of mass Λ . This mass corresponds to the cut-off of the theory that we will take to be $\Lambda \lesssim k$. Let us decompose the 5D scalar fields ϕ and Φ in KK modes. This has been done in Refs. [6, 2]. For a 5D scalar particle of mass M , the approximate KK mass spectrum for $M < k$ is given in Table 1.

	$n = 0$	$n = 1$ to $n \lesssim e^{k\pi R}$	$n \gtrsim e^{k\pi R}$
KK masses	$M/\sqrt{2}$	$(n + \frac{\alpha}{2} - \frac{3}{4}) m_{\text{KK}}$	$n m_{\text{KK}}$

Table 1: Approximate KK mass spectrum of a 5D scalar of mass M . We have defined $\alpha = \sqrt{4 + \frac{M^2}{k^2}}$ and $m_{\text{KK}} = \pi k e^{-k\pi R}$. We have neglected corrections to the spectrum of $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$. KK modes with masses close to M or k can have deviations of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ in their masses from the values given here. Since these deviations only affect few KK, they will be neglected.

We have defined the $n = 0$ mode as the mode that becomes massless in the limit $M \rightarrow 0$. For M of order k , this mode becomes heavier than some of the KK states since $m_{\text{KK}} = \pi k e^{-k\pi R} \ll k$ for $R > 1/k$. This is very different from compactifications in a slice of flat space where the $n = 0$ mode (defined as explained above) is always the lightest state. This is the effect of the AdS₅ curvature that lower the masses of the KK spectrum but not the mass of the zero mode. For the scalar ϕ and the PV field Φ , we can obtain the KK spectrum using Table 1 with the following values for α :

$$\alpha^\phi = 2 \quad , \quad \alpha^\Phi = \sqrt{4 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{k^2}} \simeq 2 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4k^2} . \quad (3)$$

From this KK decomposition we can already infer the magnitude of the quantum corrections. For each KK mode of the field ϕ there is a KK mode of the PV field whose mass acts as a cut-off scale. Since the masses of the KK modes of ϕ and Φ are of the same order of magnitude, we do not expect large corrections from them. Nevertheless, the zero mode of the PV field is very heavy, $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda)$, in contrast with the zero mode of ϕ that is massless. Therefore we expect a large correction coming from this large mass splitting of the zero modes that will reproduce the quantum corrections of an ordinary 4D theory.

To see this explicitly, let us now calculate the one-loop contribution from the scalar ϕ to the propagator of the photon $A_\mu^{(0)}$. Defining the photon self-energy by $\Pi_{\mu\nu}(q) = [q^2\eta_{\mu\nu} - q_\mu q_\nu]\Pi(q)$, we find, at zero momentum, that the one-loop contribution is given by

$$\Pi(0) = \frac{b_0}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{M_0^\phi}{M_0^\Phi} + \frac{b_{\text{KK}}}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{e^{k\pi R}} \ln \frac{M_n^\phi}{M_n^\Phi}, \quad (4)$$

where M_0^ϕ and M_n^ϕ are respectively the masses of the zero mode and n -KK mode of the field ϕ , and similarly for Φ . We denote by b_0 and b_{KK} the beta-function coefficients of the zero mode and KK modes respectively. In the example here we have $b_0 = b_{\text{KK}} = 1/3$. Using Table 1 with Eq. (3), we obtain

$$\Pi(0) \simeq \frac{b_0}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{\Lambda} + \frac{b_{\text{KK}}}{8\pi^2} \int_1^{e^{k\pi R}} dn \ln \left(\frac{n+1/4}{n+1/4+\Lambda^2/(8k^2)} \right), \quad (5)$$

where as usual we have introduced an infra-red cut-off μ , and we have replaced the sum over n by an integral. Evaluating the integral and considering that $\Lambda \lesssim k$, we obtain

$$\Pi(0) \simeq \frac{b_0}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{\Lambda} + \frac{b_{\text{KK}}}{64\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda^2}{k^2} \ln \frac{5m_{\text{KK}}}{4\pi k}. \quad (6)$$

For $\mu \ll \Lambda < k$ the KK contribution is small and can be neglected. We then obtain that the contribution to the gauge boson propagator is dominated by the zero mode and gives exactly the same contribution as in 4 dimensions:

$$\Pi(0) \simeq \frac{b_0}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{\Lambda}. \quad (7)$$

This is the main result of this paper. It shows that in these 5D theories the contribution to the massless-mode gauge-boson propagator depends logarithmically on the high-energy cut-off Λ , provided that $\mu \ll \Lambda \lesssim k$.

It is important to see if the result of Eq. (7) can be understood as a running of the gauge coupling similar to the 4D case. Of course, this cannot be the case if matter is localized on the TeV-boundary, since on that boundary our effective scale (cut-off scale) is TeV, and above this energy effects must be considered from the fundamental (string) theory. Nevertheless, if matter is localized on the M_P -boundary, where the effective scale is M_P , we will show in the next section that the effective gauge couplings can be considered to run logarithmically with the energy similarly to the 4D case.

4 The 5D gauge propagator at high energies

In order to understand what is the behavior of the theory at energies above the TeV, we will derive here the 5D propagator of the gauge boson in the AdS_5 slice. Since we are interested in the propagator at high energies from the point of view of the M_P -boundary, we will consider the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$. In this limit we do not need to do KK decomposition and we can work directly in 5D.

Let us take the gauge $A_5(x, y) = 0$ and consider only the transverse part of $A_\mu(x, y)$, *i.e.* we impose $\partial^\mu A_\mu(x, y) = 0$. It is shown in Ref. [9] that the transverse part decouples from the non-transverse part in the equations of motion. Moreover, only the propagator of the transverse part is relevant to sources localized on the M_P -boundary since the current there is transverse, $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$. Following similar steps to those in the graviton case [7, 8], we want to calculate the Green function for the gauge boson defined as

$$A_\mu(x, y) = \int d^4x' dy' \sqrt{-g} G(x, y; x', y') e^{2ky'} J_\mu(x', y'), \quad (8)$$

with $\partial^\mu J_\mu = 0$. It can be shown that $e^{k(y+y')} G(x, y; x', y')$ is also the Green function of a scalar with mass $-3k^2 + 2k\delta(y)$ [2]. Let us change the extra dimensional coordinate to $z = e^{ky}/k$. Taking the 4D Fourier transform of the Green function

$$G(x, z; x', z') = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip(x-x')} G_p(z, z'), \quad (9)$$

we have that $G_p(z, z')$ must satisfy the equation

$$\left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z - p^2 \right] G_p(z, z') = zk\delta(z - z'). \quad (10)$$

Solving Eq. (10) with the Neumann boundary condition on the M_P -boundary, we find

$$G(x, z; x', z') = \frac{i\pi k}{2} zz' \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip(x-x')} \left[\frac{J_0(ip/k)}{H_0^{(1)}(ip/k)} H_1^{(1)}(ipz) H_1^{(1)}(ipz') - J_1(ipz_<) H_1^{(1)}(ipz_>) \right], \quad (11)$$

where $H_\nu^{(1)} = J_\nu + iY_\nu$ is the Hankel function of order ν , J_ν and Y_ν are Bessel functions, and we have defined $z_>$ ($z_<$) as the greater (lesser) of z and z' . In the case where the coordinate z'

is on the M_P -boundary, $z' = 1/k$, the Green function simplifies to

$$G(x, z; x', \frac{1}{k}) = -ikz \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip(x-x')} \frac{1}{p} \frac{H_1^{(1)}(ipz)}{H_0^{(1)}(ip/k)}. \quad (12)$$

We are interested in the limit $r = |x - x'| \gg 1/k$ where the Green function is dominated by the small-momentum values of the Fourier transform ($p \ll k$) and the Hankel function $H_0^{(1)}(ip/k)$ is approximately $H_0^{(1)}(ip/k) = i\frac{2}{\pi}[\ln \frac{p}{2k} + \gamma] + \mathcal{O}(\frac{p^2}{k^2})$.

Let us now study the Green function of Eq. (12) in two different limits. First let us consider the propagator at large z , $z \gg r$. In this case we find a falloff of the Green function

$$G(x, z \gg r; x', \frac{1}{k}) \sim \frac{k}{z^2 \ln(kz)}, \quad (13)$$

that is similar to the graviton case [7, 8]. Eq. (13) implies that at large momentum $p \gtrsim 1/z = ke^{-ky}$ the M_P -boundary decouples from the TeV-boundary. This is, in fact, a check of consistency of the theory that shows that the two different effective scales on the boundaries can coexist.

Let us now consider the opposite limit, $r \gg z$, that also corresponds to the case when $z = 1/k$, *i.e.* the gauge propagator on the M_P -boundary. In this case we have,

$$G(x, \frac{1}{k}; x', \frac{1}{k}) \simeq k \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ip(x-x')} \frac{1}{p^2 \ln(p/k)}. \quad (14)$$

From Eq. (14) we can derive the static potential on the M_P -boundary:

$$V(r) \sim \frac{k}{r} \frac{1}{\ln(kr)}. \quad (15)$$

We see that it differs from the Coulomb potential in 4D by a logarithmic term. It means that the gauge coupling on the M_P -boundary grows, at the tree-level, logarithmically with the energy. This is in contrast with 5D theories in flat space where at the classical level the coupling grows linearly with the energy. In a theory with finite R this “running” will be present at energies above the mass of the first KK mode $\sim m_{\text{KK}} = \pi k e^{-k\pi R}$ (below m_{KK} we have a single massless gauge boson as in 4D). We then have

$$g^2(p > m_{\text{KK}}) \simeq g^2(p \sim m_{\text{KK}}) \frac{\pi k R}{\ln k/p}. \quad (16)$$

This mild logarithmic evolution of the gauge coupling allows us to go to high energies without entering in the strong coupling regime. We must stress that this “running” of the gauge coupling is a tree-level effect, not a quantum one. As a consequence, it will be universal for the different groups of the SM and will not affect gauge-coupling unification.

From the tree-level behaviour of the propagator in Eq. (11), we learn that the theory remains weakly coupled for $p \lesssim 1/z$. This suggests that the theory can be renormalized as long as we keep our cut-off scale below $1/z$, *i.e.* $\Lambda \lesssim ke^{-ky}$. Notice that this cut-off scale depends on the position in the extra dimension. This should be expected in a theory with the metric (1), since the effective scale of the 4D space-time at the position y is given by $\sim ke^{-ky}$. Using the cut-off $\Lambda = ke^{-ky}$, we can calculate quantum corrections in a very simple way. We just need the 5D propagators for $r > z$. For the case of the 5D massless scalar discussed in the previous section, the propagator behaves as in 4D [7, 8], $k \int d^4 p / (2\pi)^4 (1/p^2)$, giving then the same one-loop correction to the gauge coupling as in 4D.

5 GUTs in a slice of AdS₅

Let us now proceed to show that theories with gauge bosons in a slice of AdS₅ can have gauge-coupling unification. We will take a top-down approach. We will assume that we have a supersymmetric GUT in the slice of AdS₅ and show that this theory, when broken to the MSSM group, leads to a successful prediction for the gauge couplings at low energies.

As a toy example, let us consider an SU(5) theory. Due to the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold symmetry, the massless gauge sector of this theory consists of a $N = 1$ vectormultiplet [2]. They contain the SM gauge bosons plus the GUT gauge bosons, X and Y , that complete the SU(5) representation. The KK spectrum consists of $N = 2$ vectormultiplets with masses $\sim (n - \frac{1}{4}) m_{\text{KK}}$. Let us now consider that on the M_P -boundary we have a chiral supermultiplet, in the **24** representation of SU(5), whose scalar gets a vacuum expectation value (VEV) equal to $M_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{16}$ GeV (slightly below $k \simeq M_P$) breaking the SU(5) group down to the MSSM. This can be achieved in the same way as in ordinary 4D SU(5) theories, since our theory on the boundary is 4D $N = 1$ supersymmetric. It is easy to calculate the KK spectrum of the resulting theory. The $n = 0$

MSSM gauge bosons remain massless, while the GUT gauge bosons, X and Y , have masses $M_{X,Y} \simeq M_{\text{GUT}}$ ¹. The KK mass spectrum ($n \geq 1$), however, is not modified by the VEV of the **24** (up to corrections of $\mathcal{O}(M_n^2/k^2)$) and therefore the KK modes approximately respect the SU(5) symmetry. Consequently, only the zero modes (as we claimed before) will give a relative one-loop contribution to the SM gauge couplings which, at energies μ , is given by

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_i} = \frac{1}{\alpha_j} + \frac{b_i - b_j}{2\pi} \ln \frac{M_{\text{GUT}}}{\mu}, \quad (17)$$

where b_i is the contribution of the massless modes to the beta-function coefficient of the MSSM gauge group i . Therefore, in order to have the same predictions for the gauge coupling as in 4D supersymmetric GUTs, we must just demand that the massless states of the theory be those of the MSSM. This will be the case of the gauge sector, as we already explained. For the Higgs sector we can, as usual, assume that they arise from a **5** and **5̄** of SU(5). Since we need to have only the SU(2)_L-doublet light, we will need a mechanism that provides a doublet–triplet mass splitting inside the **5** and **5̄**. Several mechanisms exist in the literature for 4D. It is not clear if these mechanisms can also work in 5D. Nevertheless, we can just rely on these mechanisms by assuming that the Higgs live on the M_P -boundary.

Finally, we must implement the matter sector. Since they form complete SU(5) multiplets, **5̄** and **10**, they are irrelevant to gauge-coupling unification (they will not contribute at the one-loop level to the relative corrections to the gauge couplings). The matter sector, however, must satisfy important constraints from proton decay. Since there are very light KK modes of the X and Y bosons ($m_{\text{KK}} \sim \text{TeV}$), we must worry about proton-decay operators induced by these modes. If we analyze the y -dependent wave-function of these modes, however, we find that they are peaked on the TeV-boundary [1]. Therefore, proton-decay constraints can be satisfied by just placing the matter sector on the M_P -boundary. In this case, even if we sum over the full KK tower of the X and Y bosons, we obtain that the strength of the dimension-six proton-decay operator is given by

$$\sum_n g_n^2 \frac{1}{m_n^2} \simeq g^2 \frac{\pi k R}{M_{\text{GUT}}^4} \int_0^{M_{\text{GUT}}} m dm = g^2 \frac{\pi k R}{2M_{\text{GUT}}^2}, \quad (18)$$

¹ More precisely, we find that $M_{X,Y}$ is determined by the equation $M_{X,Y}(\ln(M_{X,Y}/2k) + \gamma + 1/2) + M_{\text{GUT}}^2/M_{X,Y} = 0$.

where g_n and m_n are respectively the coupling to the M_P -boundary and the mass of the KK of the X, Y bosons that can be derived following Ref. [2]. We see that the result is similar to that in a 4D theory where one finds g^2/M_{GUT}^2 . The operator (18) is, however, slightly larger in our theory than in 4D theories because of the factor πkR in Eq. (18). This enhancement is due to the fact that the gauge coupling grows (at tree-level) with the energy according to Eq. (16). Notice that, at the scale M_{GUT} , the theory is close to the strong coupling regime. This is why we expect in these GUTs a proton decay rate for $p \rightarrow \pi e$ closer to the experimental limit than in 4D GUTs.

Up to now we have just assumed that m_{KK} (approximately the mass of the lightest KK state) is an independent parameter of the theory that we have taken to be close to the weak scale by choosing $R \simeq 11/k$. Nevertheless, it would be interesting to relate m_{KK} with the weak scale. One way to do this is by associating the supersymmetry-breaking scale with m_{KK} . A realization of this is given in Ref. [2]. By assuming different boundary conditions for bosons and fermions on the TeV-boundary, we can get a fermion–boson mass splitting of $\mathcal{O}(m_{\text{KK}})$. This breaks supersymmetry and induces a Higgs mass of $\mathcal{O}(m_{\text{KK}})$ [10]. If this mass is negative, this will trigger electroweak symmetry breaking. This scenario therefore links the scale m_{KK} with the weak scale.

5.1 GUT physics at TeV energies

Although this theory resembles the ordinary 4D supersymmetric GUT, it has very different implications at TeV-energies. While 4D supersymmetric GUTs predict that only the MSSM fields have masses of the order of the weak scale, with a big “desert” up to the GUT scale, our theory has plenty of new physics at the TeV. There are the KK states not only of the SM but also of the GUT fields and graviton. It has been shown in Ref. [1] that the KK modes of the SM gauge bosons have sizeable couplings to the SM fermions living on the M_P -boundary ($\simeq 0.2g$) and therefore they could be seen as resonances in TeV colliders. On the other hand, the KK modes of the GUT fields couple very weakly to the M_P -boundary (this is why the proton decay rate is small). These modes, however, can be produced at TeV energies by processes mediated by virtual SM gauge bosons (that live in the 5D bulk and propagate between the two

boundaries). At these energies, also graviton KK modes can be produced. In fact, since the effective scale on the TeV-boundary is $\sim ke^{-k\pi R} \sim \text{TeV}$, quantum gravity or string effects can be important and possible to test.

6 Conclusion

We have shown that, in theories with gauge bosons propagating in the 5D bulk of the Randall–Sundrum model (a slice of AdS_5), the gauge coupling gets logarithmic corrections similar to those in 4D. These theories contain light (TeV) KK excitations, but only the (massless) zero modes contributes to the renormalization of the gauge coupling.

We have proposed a GUT where the gauge bosons live in the 5D bulk, while matter is localized on the M_P -boundary. The theory has the massless spectrum of the MSSM and predicts the right value for the gauge couplings at low energies. On the other hand, we find, at the TeV scale, KK modes of the GUT fields. These modes are very weakly coupled to the fermions of the SM and consequently proton decay rates are suppressed. Nevertheless, they couple to the SM gauge bosons with sizeable couplings, providing the possibility to test GUTs at TeV colliders.

Acknowledgements

We thank Jaume Garriga, Tony Gherghetta and Riccardo Rattazzi for very useful discussions. This work has been supported in part by the Spanish CICYT contract AEN99-0766.

References

- [1] A. Pomarol, [hep-ph/9911294](#).
- [2] T. Gherghetta and A. Pomarol, [hep-ph/0003129](#).
- [3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370.

- [4] I. Antoniadis, Phys. Lett. **B246** (1990) 377; K. R. Dienes, E. Dudas and T. Gherghetta, Phys. Lett. **B436** (1998) 55; Z. Kakushadze, Nucl. Phys. **B548** (1999) 205; I. Antoniadis and C. Bachas, Phys. Lett. **B450** (1999) 83; N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and J. March-Russell, [hep-th/9908146](#).
- [5] H. Davoudiasl, J. L. Hewett and T. G. Rizzo, [hep-ph/9911262](#).
- [6] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 107505; S. Chang, J. Hisano, H. Nakano, N. Okada and M. Yamaguchi, [hep-ph/9912498](#).
- [7] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 2778.
- [8] S. B. Giddings, E. Katz and L. Randall, JHEP **0003** (2000) 023.
- [9] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 086002.
- [10] See J. Garriga, O. Pujolas and T. Tanaka, [hep-th/0004109](#), for a formalism to calculate scalar masses in this background. For a flat space, see A. Delgado, A. Pomarol and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 095008.